



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,699	02/27/2004	Federico Uslenghi	10740; 60246-341	9287
26096	7590	07/17/2008	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			JOYNER, KEVIN	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD				
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,699	USLENGHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN C. JOYNER	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN C. JOYNER. (3) _____.

(2) KARIN BUTCHKO. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Suenaga (Japanese Patent No. JP 20011299881) and Bigelow (U.S. Patent No. 6,500,387).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant provided a proposed amendment concerning the shape of the shield and the height with respect to the monolith height. The proposed amendment included the shield having a planar portion and the height of the shield being less than the height of the monolith. The Examiner indicated that the newly proposed amendment would appear to overcome the rejection as set forth, however further consideration and/or search is necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elizabeth L McKane/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.